

Tennessee County Tax Statistics

The University of Tennessee County Technical Assistance Service an agency of the Institute for Public Service

> 28th Edition November 2004

Visit our Web site at www.ctas.tennessee.edu.



226 Capitol Boulevard Building
Suite 400

Nashville, Tennessee 37219-1804 Phone: (615) 532-3555

Fax: (615) 532-3699

November 2004

Dear County Official:

The following text and tables represent our 28th edition of tax statistics for county governments in Tennessee. The information provided is the most current as of publication; however, certain changes will no doubt take place shortly due to the dynamic nature of county government.

Information on local litigation taxes is not included in our report. Most counties do levy this tax in varying amounts and for a myriad of purposes. Its ease of passage (simple resolution) has made it a popular source of much needed revenues.

It is our legislative mandate to provide county officials with information useful in the operation of their offices. In this respect, we hope you find this report beneficial in analyzing revenue sources available to county government. If CTAS can provide other information relating to this report, please contact us at your convenience.

For this and other CTAS publications and information, you are invited to visit the CTAS Web site at www.ctas.tennessee.edu.

Sincerely,

Michael R. Garland Executive Director

Table of Contents

Property Taxes	1
Table 1 Property Tax Rate by Fund FY 2005	3
Table 2 Property Tax Rate Comparisons from FY 2004 to FY 2005	٥
Ranked by Rate Change Table 3 Amount of Property Tax Revenue Generated on One Cent of the	9
Property Tax Rate 2003	11
Property Tax Nate 2003	
County Local Option Sales Taxes	13
Table 4 Local Option Sales Tax Rates, Single Article Cap, and Effective	
Dates	15
Table 5 Countywide Local Option Sales Tax Collections FY 2004	17
	4.0
County Motor Vehicle Tax	19
Table 6 County Motor Vehicle Tax Rates FY 2005	21
Table 7 Motor Vehicle Registrations 2004	23
Hotel/Motel Tax	25
Table 8 Hotel/Motel Tax Rates and Distribution FY 2005	27
Table of fole/Motor fax faces and Blothbadell	20.00
County Mineral Severance Tax	29
Table 9 Mineral Severance Tax Rates and Distribution FY 2005	31
Adequate Facilities/Development Tax	33
Table 10 Adequate Facilities/Development Taxes and Distribution	35
Local Litigation Tax	27
Local Litigation Tax	37
Summary of Major County Tax Rates	39
Table 11 Summary of Major Tax Rates Table 11 Summary of Major Tax Rates for Major County Taxes FY 2005	41
Table 11 Sulfilliary of Major Tax Malos for Major Southly Taxos 11 2000	

County Property Tax Rates by Fund¹

The county property tax is the most important source of revenue for county governments. The tax is levied on all real and personal property based on the classification and value of the property unless the property is exempt.²

Tax revenues may only be used for the purposes for which the tax is levied. The county legislative body sets the rate of the tax by a deadline dependent on the county's budget law. However, some counties do not adopt a budget until after the specified deadline, in which case operations continue under a continuation budget remaining in effect until a new budget is adopted.

Rates adopted by type of fund for fiscal year 2005 are shown in Table 1. Note that not all rates are levied countywide. These instances occur for variety of reasons. For example, certain services that cities provide to city residents are not provided outside city boundaries. Another example is in the case of special school districts, which have their own taxing jurisdictions. In those cases, the total tax rate reflected in the last column shows the rate paid by a county taxpayer living in the special district jurisdiction. Notes at the end of Table I provide detail on the purposes for which taxes are levied for special revenue and special purpose funds.

The information shown in Table 2 compares the total tax rate adopted in fiscal year 2005 with the previous year. Thirty-seven counties (the same number from 2003 to 2004) increased property tax rates by amounts ranging from \$.01 to \$.59. The percentage increases ranged from slightly more than .5 percent to nearly 26 percent.

Table 3 shows how much revenue one cent of the property tax generates in each county, if each county collected 100 percent of property taxes. This table illustrates the dramatic variations in county property tax bases across Tennessee, with the lowest in Lake County generating \$6,078 on one cent of its property tax rate, to Shelby County generating more than \$1.5 million on one cent of its property tax rate.

For more detail regarding the county property tax, refer to the *County Property Tax Manual* and the *County Revenue Manual*, both published by the University of Tennessee County Technical Assistance Service (CTAS) and available on the CTAS Web site at www.ctas.tennessee.edu.

² T.C.A. § 67-5-101

1

¹ The legal authority for the property tax is the Tennessee Constitution, article II, § 28; T.C.A. Title 67, Chapter 5, Parts 1 through 28.

						1 1 2000	3							Ì	
		Other General Fund or	Highway/	General	School	Education		General and Other	Rural	:	:	Special/			
	General	Special Revenue Fund	Public Works Fund	Purpose School Fund	Transpor- tation Fund	Debt Service Fund	School Capital Projects	Debt Service Funds	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Funds	Solid Waste Fund	Local Purpose Fund	County Tax Rate	School District	Total Tax Rate
Anderson															
inside Clinton*	0.93			2.06				0.40					3.39		3.39
inside Oak Ridge	0.93			2.06				0.23					3.22		3.22
outside Clinton and	0.93			2.06				0.40	0.01				3.40		3.40
Bedford	1.13		0.05					0.02					2.49		2.49
Benton	0.84		0.12					0.15					3.02		3.02
Bledsoe	0.81			96.0		60.0		90.0			0.16		2.08		2.08
Blount	0.78			1.21				0.44					2.43		2.43
Bradley	0 55435	0000	0 44204	4 02659				0.42772					2.18		2.18
inside Chanesion	0.33430	0.0203		1.02030				0.42772					2 18		2 18
inside Cleveland inside urban fringe	0.55436	0.0283	0.14304	1.02658				0.42772				0.51	2 69		2.69
III'e district	0.33430	0.0203						0.42772				0.13	2.31		2.31
Camphell	0.33436	0.0203	_	0.81				0.12	I	0.08	0.40		2.44		2.44
Campbell	1 36			L				0.04			0.12		2.59		2.59
Carroll	2														
Bruceton-Hollow								1			0		90	5	4000
Rock SSD	0.65		0.09		0.17			0.07			0.08		90.1	1.99	2.00
Huntingdon SSD	99.0		0.09		0.17			0.07			0.00		1.06	1 53	2 59
McKenzie SSD	0.65		0.09		0.17			0.07			0.00		1.06	1.41	2.47
South Carroll SSD	0.00		60.0		0.17			0.07			0.08		1.06	1.81	2.87
outside special	20.0														
school districts	0.65		0.09		0.17			0.07			0.08		1.06		1.06
Carter	0.85		0.08					0.38					2.56		2.56
Cheatham	06.0	yl L	60.0			29.0		0.05		i i	0.15		3.13		3.13
Chester	0.9850		0.02				0.01	0		0.000	00.0		2.02		2.02
Claiporne	0.53		10.0	1.04				0.03			0.20		2 80		2 89
Clay	1.07		0.47	1.30	3000			10.0			5		3.16		3.16
Cocke	coo.i		5	0.00				1							
inside Manchester	0.98			1.40		0.08		0.18					2.64		2.64
inside Tullahoma	0.98			1.40				0.18					2.56		2.56
outside cities	0.98			1.40		80.08		0.18	0.17		0.21	0.29	3.31		3.31
Crockett	1.17			0.79	0.12			0.40					2.48		2.48
Cumberland	0.75			0.51				0.38					1.64		1.64
Davidson															
Urban Services District	2.58			1.27		0.20		0.53					4.58		4.58
General Services	1.94			1.27		0.20		0.43					3.84		3.84
Decatur	0.59			0.85				0.12					1.56		1.56
DeKalb	0.5916			0.7921				0.05			0.1963		1.63		1.63

		other .													
		Seneral Fund or	Highway/	General	School	Education		General and Other	Rica			, ciedos			
		Special	Public	Purpose	Transpor-	Debt	School	Debt	Debt	Capital	Solid	Special/ Local		Special	
	General Fund	Revenue Fund	Works Fund	School Fund	tation Fund	Service	Capital Projects	Service	Service	Projects Funds	Waste	Purpose	County	School	Total Tax
Dickson	1.02		0.17					0.58				3	an Male	DISHICL	Late
Dyer	0.545		0.318		0.252			200	0.247				2.00		2.86
Fayette	0.7764		0.1215					0 1217	10.0				2.38		2.58
Fentress	1,18			0.42				0.12			140		400 +		1./4
Franklin											5		99.1		1.88
cities excent															
Sewanee.															
Winchester,															
Tullahoma	0.95		0.04	1.35		0.18		0.10			000		6		0
Sewanee,								2			0.20		79.7		7.87
Winchester															
Tullahoma	0.95		0.04	1,35		0.18		0 10					200		0
outside cities	0.95		0.04	1 35		0 0		2 4			000		79.7		7.62
Gibson	200			20.1		0.10		0.0			0.20	0.11	2.93		2.93
Gibson Co SSD	080		0.40												
Bradford SSD	0.00		0.12					60.0					0.81	1.31	2.12
Control Co	00.0		0.12					0.09					0.81	1.65	2.46
Nerron SSD	0.00		0.12					60.0					0.81	1.65	2.46
Till SOD	09.0		0.12					0.09					0.81	2.01	2.82
I renton SSD	09.0		0.12					0.09					0.81	1.75	2.56
Giles	1.25		0.20	1.76				0.40					3.61		3.61
Grainger	1.20		60.0	06.0				0.31			0.24	0.15	2.89		2 80
Greene															201
inside Greeneville	29.0		0.16	0.72				0.08			0.07		1 70		1 70
outside Greeneville	0.67		0.16	0.72		0.25		0.08			0.07		1 05		1.05
Grundy	1.32			96.0				0.17			0.36		281		2 84
Hamblen								2222					10.3		2.01
inside Morristown	0.49			1.30				72.0					200		20.0
outside Morristown	0.49			1.30				0.27			030		238		20.00
Hamilton	1.4128		0.0148	1.6334									3.061		3.061
Hancock	0.82			0.85				0.05			0.30		2.02		200
Hardeman	98.0			1.56				60.0					251		25.02
Hardin	0.74		0.051	0.989				0.04					1 82		183
Hawkins	0.78		0.18	1.14	0.18	0.23		0.02					2 53		2 53
Haywood	08'0		0.14	1.09				0.08					2 11		2.4
Henderson	0.723		0.05	0.76	0.287			0.63			000	T	251		2.1
Henry								0000			50.0		40.7		40.3
inside Paris SSD	0.614		0.29	1.426				0.03			90.0		0 40	200	200
outside Paris SSD	0.614		0.29	1 426				0.00			90.0		2.42	0.00	3.07
Hickman	1.34		0.08	1.05				0.03	1	1	0.00		2.42		2.42
			2012	2011				0.00					7.80		7.80

4

						L1 2003	3								
		Other General	:	Č		, i		General	C			Special			
		Fund or Special	nignway/ Public	Purpose	Transpor-	Debt	School	Debt	Debt	Capital	Solid	Local		Special	!
	General Fund	Revenue	Works	School Fund	tation Fund	Service	Capital Projects	Service Funds	Service	Projects Funds	Waste	Purpose Fund	County Tax Rate	School District	lotal lax Rate
Houston	1.79		0.01	29.0				0.21			0.22		2.90		2.90
Humphreys															
inside Waverly, McEwen, or New Johnsonville	0.56		0.095	0.91				0.345		0.055	0.185		2.15		2.15
outside Waverly, McEwen, or New	0.56	90.0	0.095	0.91				0.345		0.055	0.185		2.21		2.21
Jackson	1.21			1.07				60.0			0.25		2.74		2.74
Jefferson	0.74		0.18					0.16		0.04	0.18		2.06		2.06
Johnson	0.79			1.30				0.36		0.11	0.05		2.61		2.61
Knox	1.36							0.25					2.96		2.96
Lake	1.026		0.036					0.153			0.036		2.43		2.43
Lauderdale	66.0		0.205					0.205					2.33		2.33
Lawrence	1.08		0.26					0.390					2.75		2.43
Lewis	1.137		0.075					0.178					2.12		21.7
Lincoln	0.795		0.105					0.215					2.23		2.23
London	79.0		0.03					0.15		0.03	000		1.78		07.0
Macon	1.46		0.14								0.03		2.70		2.70
Madison	96.0		0.12	0.80				0.515				0.065	2.40		2.40
Marion															
inside Richard City SSD	0.732			0.982				0.10					1.814	0.21	2.02
outside Richard City SSD	0.732			0.982				0.10	0.266				2.08		2.08
Marshall	0.85		0.07	1.95				0.27					3.14		3.14
Maury	0.69		0.16					0.35					2.73		2.73
McMinn	0.402		0.1487	0.9						0.3890			08.1		06.1
McNairy	0.65		60.0					0.12					2.02		2.02
Meigs	1.22			0.78				0.29					67.7		67.7
Monroe													00,		400
inside cities	0.58		0.04					0.24			0.14		1.88		20.1
outside cities	0.58		0.04					0.24			0.17		1.91		
Montgomery	1.02		0.14	1.12				0.82		1			3.10		0.10
Moore															
inside Urban Services District	1.02		0.02	1.34				0.05			0.05		2.45		2.45
outside Urban			0					000			0.05		2 44		2.44
Services District	1.01		0.02	1.34				20.02			20.0		7		7:3

		Other General						General							
	General	Fund or Special Revenue Fund	Highway/ Public Works Fund	General Purpose School Fiind	School Transportation	Education Debt Service	School Capital	and Other Debt Service	Rural Debt Service	Capital Projects		Special/ Local Purpose		Special School	Total Tax
Morgan	1.88		0.03	1.45			Linjerts	Spin	Lang	rungs	Fund	Fund	lax Rate	District	Rate
Obion								0.033			0.407		3.85		3.86
inside Union City	0.28		0.10	1.28					0.05				4 74		1,
outside Union City	0.28		0.10	1.28					0.00				17.1		1.71
Overton	1.00		0.05	09:0				0.50	\$C.0		000		2.20		2.20
Perry	1.10			0.90				0.20			0.03	200	1.94		1.94
Pickett	1.08			0.70				000			0.05	0.0	2.38		2.38
Polk	1.05			0.88				0.14			07:0		21.7		2.12
Putnam	0.81		01.0	0.9125				0.55			0.23		2 6035		2000
Rhea	0.85			0.46	0.25			0.21			23.0		177		2700.7
Roane						-							1,1,1		17.7
inside Kingston, Midtown, Oliver Springs, and	G G	i i	1												
inside Harriman	69.0	0.055	0.115	1.515				0.07	0.38				2.825		2.825
Iliside nariman	0.09	0.055	0.115	1.515				0.07	90.0				2.505		2 505
inside city of Oak Ridge	0.69	0.055	0.115	1.515				70.0					2000		2.202
actorda Kingston								10.0					C++.7		2.445
Midtown, Oliver Springs, Rockwood, Harriman, and Oak Ridge	0.69	0.055	0.115	ر بر بر				0	c c						
Robertson	0.88			1.32				0.07	0.38		000	0.08	2.905		2.905
Rutherford	0.595	0.015		1 44				0.20			0.00		7.00		2.66
Scott								0.12			0.03		2.80		2.80
inside Oneida SSD	0.58			1.18				0.24				İ	200	0.47	TA C
outside Oneida SSD	0.58			1.18				0.24	0.40				0 40		4.7
Sequatchie	0.88			1.44				0.21			0.18	Ī	2.71		2.71
Sevier	0.50	0.02	0.19	0.79				0.16					1.66		1 66
Sheiby	1.31			2.03				0.70	0.05				4.09		4.09
Smith	0.75		0.04	06'0		0.14		0.16					1.99		1 99
Stewart	1.35		0.08	0.32				0.74		0.01		0.08	2.58		2.58
Sullivan	0.77	0.04	0.05	1.70				0.085	90.0		0.025		2.67		2.67
Tinton	0.53		0.02	1.51				0.53					2.59		2.59
i i biou	0.70		0.04	1.35				0.72			0.04		2.85		2.85
Irousdale															
inside Hartsville**	4												1.24		1.24
outside Hartsville	1.40			0.81		0.12		0.08			0.24		2.65		2.65

Property Tax Rate by Fund FY 2005

39						C007 1 1	3								
		Other			0 1	6 6 7		General	Ē			Veinan			
	General	Special Revenue	nignway, Public Works	Purpose School	Transpor- tation	Debt Service	School	Debt Service	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Solid	Local	County	Special School	Total Tax
	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Projects	Funds	Fund	Funds	Fund	Fund	Tax Rate	District	Rate
Unicoi	1.045		0.025	1.00				0.42					2.49		2.49
Union	99.0	0.11	0.03	0.99				0.21					2.00		2.00
Van Buren	1.04	0.22		99.0							0.04		1.96		1.96
Warren	0.8900	0.1100	0.0950	0.70				0.4550			90.0		2.31		2.31
Washington	0.63		0.14	0.82				0.23			0.05		1.87		1.87
Wayne	69.0		0.02	0.93				0.13			0.22		1.99		1.99
Weakley	0.53		0.29	0.80				0.55					2.17		2.17
White	1.18			1.00				0.03					2.21		2.21
Williamson											İ				
5th and 9th districts															
inside city limits of															
Franklin and Franklin								1					(C	0
ass	09.0			1.55				0.34					2.49	66.0	3.48
9th district outside															
Franklin city limits and Franklin SSD	09.0		0.05	1.55				0.34			0.07		2.61	0.99	3.60
inside cities of															
Brentwood, Fairview,															
Spring Hill,															
Nolensville	09:0			1.55				0.34	0.23		0.07		2.79		2.79
inside Franklin city															
limits - no Franklin SSD	0.60			1.55				0.34	0.23				2.72		2.72
outside jurisdictions															
above	09.0		0.05	1.55				0.34	0.23		0.07		2.84		2.84
Wilson															
inside Lebanon SSD	0.87		0.17	1.46				0.23		0.07	0.17		2.97	0.43	3.40
outside Lebanon											1				0
SSD	0.87		0.17	1.46				0.23		0.07	0.17		2.97		2.97

Notes on Special Revenue/Special Purpose Funds:

Bradley County special revenue fund is for the public library; special purpose fund is for the fire district.

Coffee County special revenue fund is for industrial development. Franklin County special revenue fund is for rural fire.

Grainger County special revenue fund is for parks and recreation.
Greene County special revenue fund is for self-insurance.
Humphreys County special revenue fund is for the fire tax district.
Roane County special revenue fund is \$.025 for industrial/economic development and \$.03 for the recycling center.
Roane County special purpose fund is for solid waste.

Rutherford County special revenue fund is for public works for the Stormwater Phase II program.

Van Buren special revenue fund is \$ 12 for the ambulance service and \$.10 for the volunteer fire department. Union County special revenue fund is for the ambulance fund

Warren County special revenue fund is for the ambulance service.
*Anderson inside Clinton other debt service is for high school debt service at \$.17; the general debt service rate is \$.23.
**Trousdale County and the city of Hartsville are a consolidated government; the tax rate inside Hartsville is not broken out by fund type.

Table 2
Property Tax Rate Comparisons from FY 2004 to FY 2005 Ranked by Rate Change

	FY 2004	FY 2005	Rate Change	Percentage Change
4.0	\$2.30	\$2.89	\$0.59	25.7%
1 Grainger	\$3.34	\$3.86	\$0.59	15.6%
2 Morgan		\$2.29	\$0.32	25.1%
3 Meigs	\$1.83			
4 Henderson	\$2.13	\$2.54	\$0.41	19.2%
5 Stewart	\$2.18	\$2.58	\$0.40	18.3%
6 Giles	\$3.27	\$3.61	\$0.34	10.4%
7 Carter	\$2.22	\$2.56	\$0.34	15.3%
8 Sullivan	\$2.35	\$2.67	\$0.32	13.6%
9 Blount	\$2.15	\$2.43	\$0.28	13.0%
10 Lawrence	\$2.47	\$2.75	\$0.28	11.3%
11 Franklin	\$2.66	\$2.93	\$0.27	10.2%
12 Lewis	\$1.86	\$2.12	\$0.26	14.0%
13 Lauderdale	\$2.11	\$2.33	\$0.22	10.4%
14 Sevier	\$1.45	\$1.66	\$0.21	14.5%
15 Johnson	\$2.40	\$2.61	\$0.21	8.7%
16 Cumberland	\$1.44	\$1.64	\$0.20	13.9%
17 Union	\$1.80	\$2.00	\$0.20	11.1%
18 Montgomery	\$2.91	\$3.10	\$0.19	6.5%
19 Washington	\$1.69	\$1.87	\$0.18	10.7%
20 McNairy	\$1.85	\$2.02	\$0.17	9.2%
21 Hickman	\$2.64	\$2.80	\$0.16	6.1%
22 Bradley	\$2.18	\$2.31	\$0.13	6.0%
23 Moore	\$2.31	\$2.44	\$0.13	5.6%
24 Putnam	\$2.48	\$2.6025	\$0.12	4.9%
25 Hawkins	\$2.41	\$2.53	\$0.12	5.0%
26 Macon	\$2.59	\$2.70	\$0.11	4.2%
27 Campbell	\$2.34	\$2.44	\$0.10	4.3%
28 Coffee	\$3.21	\$3.31	\$0.10	3.1%
29 Henry	\$2.32	\$2.42	\$0.10	4.3%
30 Perry	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$0.10	4.4%
31 Benton	\$2.93	\$3.02	\$0.09	3.1%
32 Anderson	\$3.32	\$3.40	\$0.08	2.4%
33 Jefferson	\$2.00	\$2.06	\$0.06	3.0%
34 Sequatchie	\$2.66	\$2.71	\$0.05	1.9%
35 Cheatham	\$3.09	\$3.13	\$0.04	1.3%
36 Gibson	\$0.78	\$0.81	\$0.03	3.8%
37 Weakley	\$2.16	\$2.17	\$0.03	0.5%
	\$2.49	\$2.49	\$0.00	0.0%
38 Bedford	\$2.49	\$2.49	\$0.00	0.0%
39 Bledsoe	\$2.59	\$2.59	\$0.00	0.0%
40 Cannon	\$1.06	\$1.06	\$0.00	0.0%
41 Carroll	\$2.02	\$2.02	\$0.00	0.0%
42 Chester				0.0%
43 Claiborne	\$2.13	\$2.13	\$0.00	0.0%
44 Clay	\$2.89	\$2.89	\$0.00	
45 Cocke	\$3.16	\$3.16	\$0.00	0.0%
46 Crockett	\$2.48	\$2.48	\$0.00	0.0%
47 Davidson	\$4.58	\$4.58	\$0.00	0.0%
48 Decatur	\$1.56	\$1.56	\$0.00	0.0%
49 DeKalb	\$1.63	\$1.63	\$0.00	0.0%
50 Dickson	\$2.86	\$2.86	\$0.00	0.0%
51 Dyer	\$2.58	\$2.58	\$0.00	0.0%
52 Fayette	\$1.74	\$1.74	\$0.00	0.0%
53 Fentress	\$1.88	\$1.88	\$0.00	0.0%
54 Greene	\$1.95	\$1.95	\$0.00	0.0%
55 Grundy	\$2.81	\$2.81	\$0.00	0.0%

9

Table 2
Property Tax Rate Comparisons from FY 2004 to FY 2005 Ranked by Rate Change

	FY 2004	EV 2005	Rate	Percentage
EC Hambles		FY 2005	Change	Change
56 Hamblen	\$2.36	\$2.36	\$0.00	0.0%
57 Hamilton	\$3.0610	\$3.0610	\$0.00	0.0%
58 Hancock	\$2.02	\$2.02	\$0.00	0.0%
59 Hardeman	\$2.51	\$2.51	\$0.00	0.0%
60 Hardin	\$1.82	\$1.82	\$0.00	0.0%
61 Haywood	\$2.11	\$2.11	\$0.00	0.0%
62 Houston	\$2.90	\$2.90	\$0.00	0.0%
63 Humphreys	\$2.21	\$2.21	\$0.00	0.0%
64 Jackson	\$2.74	\$2.74	\$0.00	0.0%
65 Knox	\$2.96	\$2.96	\$0.00	0.0%
66 Lake	\$2.43	\$2.43	\$0.00	0.0%
67 Lincoln	\$2.23	\$2.23	\$0.00	0.0%
68 Loudon	\$1.78	\$1.78	\$0.00	0.0%
69 Madison	\$2.46	\$2.46	\$0.00	0.0%
70 Marion	\$2.08	\$2.08	\$0.00	0.0%
71 Marshall	\$3.14	\$3.14	\$0.00	0.0%
72 Maury	\$2.73	\$2.73	\$0.00	0.0%
73 McMinn	\$1.90	\$1.90	\$0.00	0.0%
74 Monroe	\$1.91	\$1.91	\$0.00	0.0%
75 Obion	\$2.20	\$2.20	\$0.00	0.0%
76 Overton	\$1.94	\$1.94	\$0.00	0.0%
77 Pickett	\$2.12	\$2.12	\$0.00	0.0%
78 Polk	\$2.07	\$2.07	\$0.00	0.0%
79 Rhea	\$1.77	\$1.77	\$0.00	0.0%
80 Roane	\$2.9050	\$2.9050	\$0.00	0.0%
81 Robertson	\$2.66	\$2.66	\$0.00	0.0%
82 Rutherford	\$2.80	\$2.80	\$0.00	0.0%
83 Scott	\$2.40	\$2.40	\$0.00	0.0%
84 Shelby	\$4.09	\$4.09	\$0.00	0.0%
85 Smith	\$1.99	\$1.99	\$0.00	0.0%
86 Sumner	\$2.59	\$2.59	\$0.00	0.0%
87 Tipton	\$2.85	\$2.85	\$0.00	0.0%
88 Trousdale	\$2.65	\$2.65	\$0.00	0.0%
89 Unicoi	\$2.49	\$2.49	\$0.00	0.0%
90 Van Buren	\$1.96	\$1.96	\$0.00	0.0%
91 Warren	\$2.31	\$2.31	\$0.00	0.0%
92 Wayne	\$1.99	\$1.99	\$0.00	0.0%
93 White	\$2.21	\$2.21	\$0.00	0.0%
94 Williamson	\$2.84	\$2.84	\$0.00	0.0%
95 Wilson	\$2.97	\$2.97	\$0.00	0.0%

Notes:

Reappraisals occurred in Chester, Crockett, Gibson, Lawrence, Marion, McNairy, Overton, Rhea, Trousdale, Warren, Washington, Wayne, and White counties; their FY 2004 tax rates have been adjusted to certified rates.

Table 3
Amount of Property Tax Revenue Generated on One Cent of the Property Tax Rate
2003

1 Anderson	\$97,464
2 Bedford	\$54,363
3 Benton	\$16,734
4 Bledsoe	\$12,058
5 Blount	\$191,590
6 Bradley	\$139,910
7 Campbell	\$43,701
8 Cannon	\$14,656
9 Carroll	\$27,803
10 Carter	\$48,621
11 Cheatham	\$48,873
12 Chester	\$15,048
13 Claiborne	\$35,276
14 Clay	\$7,706
15 Cocke	\$34,395
	\$66,249
16 Coffee	
17 Crockett	\$16,786
18 Cumberland	\$86,101
19 Davidson	\$1,323,986
20 Decatur	\$13,551
21 DeKalb	\$30,959
22 Dickson	\$71,229
23 Dyer	\$50,934
24 Fayette	\$53,652
25 Fentress	\$16,448
26 Franklin	\$56,557
27 Gibson	\$54,903
28 Giles	\$34,499
29 Grainger	\$17,998
30 Greene	\$93,770
31 Grundy	\$13,279
32 Hamblen	\$95,954
33 Hamilton	\$545,951
34 Hancock	\$6,860
35 Hardeman	\$27,221
36 Hardin	\$39,727
37 Hawkins	\$67,069
38 Haywood	\$29,530
39 Henderson	\$28,651
40 Henry	\$41,473
41 Hickman	\$21,703
42 Houston	\$8,961
43 Humphreys	\$30,188
44 Jackson	\$10,633
45 Jefferson	\$65,544
46 Johnson	\$17,970
47 Knox	\$657,260
48 Lake	\$6,078
49 Lauderdale	\$25,433
50 Lawrence	\$44,516
	\$12,417
51 Lewis	a 17.417

53 Loudon	\$87,441
54 Macon	\$22,022
55 Madison	\$141,583
56 Marion	\$38,575
57 Marshall	\$42,955
58 Maury	\$107,808
59 McMinn	\$89,878
60 McNairy	\$24,491
61 Meigs	\$13,695
62 Monroe	\$59,509
63 Montgomery	\$176,255
64 Moore	\$11,593
65 Morgan	\$16,412
66 Obion	\$42,727
67 Overton	\$21,634
68 Perry	\$11,711
69 Pickett	\$6,732
70 Polk	\$20,508
71 Putnam	\$96,851
72 Rhea	\$33,732
73 Roane	\$69,490
74 Robertson	\$87,549
75 Rutherford	\$331,802
76 Scott	\$22,433
77 Sequatchie	\$14,463
78 Sevier	\$228,559
79 Shelby	\$1,501,891
80 Smith	\$25,350
81 Stewart	\$15,887
82 Sullivan	\$253,753
83 Sumner	\$243,539
84 Tipton	\$62,408
85 Trousdale	\$8,041
86 Unicoi	\$21,107
87 Union	\$18,693
88 Van Buren	\$7,313
89 Warren	\$46,908
90 Washington	\$168,012
91 Wayne	\$13,788
92 Weakley	\$36,836
93 White	\$26,691
94 Williamson	\$420,834
95 Wilson	\$163,977
Statewide	\$9,464,171

Source: 2003 Tax Aggregate Report of Tennessee, State Board of Equalization.

County Local Option Sales Taxes¹

Any county by resolution of its legislative body can levy a sales tax on the same privileges subject to the state sales tax; however, no local sales tax or rate increase in the local sales tax can become effective until approved in an election in the county or city levying it (all counties now levy local option sales taxes). The same exemptions generally apply to the local option sales tax as apply to the state sales tax.

If the county has levied the maximum rate of 2.75 percent, no city in the county can levy a sales tax. If a county has a sales tax rate less than the maximum, a city may levy a rate up to the difference between the county rate and the maximum.

Additionally, the local option sales tax may only apply to single purchases of tangible personal property up to \$1,600. This limitation results in a "cap" on the local option sales tax that can be collected on taxable single articles. The cap is calculated as the base (the limitation on single purchases as adopted by the county) multiplied by the rate. For example, a county that has adopted the maximum base of \$1,600 with a rate in place of 2.25 percent would have a tax cap of \$36 on any single article of purchase.

The limit has been modified several times since the local option sales tax was authorized in 1963. The first base limit was a fixed \$5 cap on a single article. In 1968, the limit was increased to \$7.50. In 1983, the base limit was changed to allow the local option sales tax to be applied to up to \$667 of a single article. The cap at that point became a function of the single article limitation (which could be adopted by resolution of the county legislative body) and the local option sales tax rate. Since 1983, the single article limit, or base, has been expanded three times: to \$889 in 1984; to \$1,100 in 1985; and to the current level of \$1,600 in 1990. In 2002, the General Assembly raised the state sales tax to 7 percent (the rate on non-prepared food items remained at 6 percent). At that time, the state also levied an additional 2.75 percent state sales tax on a single article purchase in excess of \$1,600 up to \$3,200. Therefore, on non-food purchases, the rate is 7 percent plus the local option rate on the first \$1,600 of a single item, a 9.75 percent state rate on the amount in excess of \$1,600 up to \$3,200, and 7 percent on the amount of the purchase in excess of \$3,200.

The required distribution of the county local option sales taxes revenues (regardless of the location of the sales) is 50 percent to education in the same manner as the property tax and 50 percent to the location where the sales occurred.²

Table 4 shows local option sales tax rates, bases, and caps, and the dates they went into effect. As of the publication of this document, the current rates are as follows: 33 counties are at 2.75 percent; 14 are at 2.50 percent; 39 counties are at 2.25 percent; 6 counties have rates of 2.00 percent; 1 is at 1.75 percent; and 2 are at 1.50 percent. Three counties have not yet adopted the maximum base of \$1,600 and remain at the 1968 level of \$7.50.

Table 5 shows countywide local option sales tax collections for fiscal year 2004 as reported by the Tennessee Department of Revenue in its *June 2004 Monthly Collections Report*. The collections figures can enable a simple calculation of potential additional local sales tax revenue that might be generated by increasing the existing rate if it is not currently at the maximum. For example, if the current rate is 2.25 percent, divide 2.75 percent by 2.25 percent. The result is 1.22, a factor that can be applied to current collections. If collections in the county with the 2.25 percent rate are \$2.0 million, then multiply 1.22 by \$2.0 million. The estimated collections at the new rate are therefore approximately \$2.44 million, or an additional \$440,000. Caution should be used in making budgetary plans based on collections information. Data should be the most current and any foreseeable adverse economic event should be considered. For additional detail on the local option sales tax, refer to the CTAS *County Revenue Manual* or *The*

² T.C.A. §67-6-712

¹ The legal authority for the local option sales tax is T.C.A. §§ 67-6-701 et seq.

Local Sales Tax Handbook for Local Officials, a joint publication by CTAS and Municipal Technical Advisory Service. Both publications can be found on the CTAS Web site, www.ctas.tennessee.edu.

Table 4

Local Option Sales Tax Rates, Single Article Cap, and Effective Dates

COUNTY	RATE	EFFECTIVE	BASE	TAX CAP	EFFECTIVE	COUNTY	RATE	EFFECTIVE	BASE		EFFECTIVE
Anderson*	2.25%	May-87	\$1,600	\$36.00	May-87	Lauderdale	2.75%	Jan-95	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jan-95
Bedford	2.75%	Aug-01	\$1,600	\$44.00	Aug-01	Lawrence	2.75%	Mar-96	\$1,600	\$44.00	Mar-96
Benton	2.75%	Jan-97	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jan-97	Lewis	2.50%	Jul-97	\$1,600	\$40.00	Jul-97
Bledsoe	2.25%	Nov-77	\$1,600	\$36.00	Feb-91	Lincoln	2.50%	May-92	\$1,600	\$40.00	May-92
Blount	2.25%	Oct-80	\$1,600	\$36.00	Aug-83	Loudon*	2.00%	Jul-98	\$1,600	\$32.00	Jul-98
Bradley	2.25%	Jan-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-91	McMinn	2.00%	May-78	\$1,600	\$32.00	Aug-83
Campbell*	2.25%	Jul-84	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83	McNairy	2.25%	Sep-79	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
	1.75%	Jan-76	\$1,600	\$28.00	Sep-83	Macon	2.25%	Jul-84	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-83
Cannon Carroll*	2.75%	Oct-97	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-97	Madison	2.75%	Jul-89	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-83
	2.75%	Mar-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-88	Marion	2.25%	Jun-77	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-84
Carter		Jul-76	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-83	Marshall	2.25%	Nov-78	\$1,600	\$36.00	Dec-83
Cheatham*	2.25%		\$1,600	\$44.00	Jun-95	Maury	2.25%	Dec-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Aug-83
Chester	2.75%	Jun-95		\$36.00	Oct-83	Meigs	2.00%	Jul-78	\$1,600	\$32.00	Oct-83
Claiborne	2.25%	Sep-83	\$1,600	\$44.00	Nov-99	Monroe	2.25%	Oct-80	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
Clay	2.75%	Nov-99	\$1,600		Mar-88	Montgomery	2.50%	Jan-89	\$1,600	\$40.00	Jan-89
Cocke	2.75%	Mar-88	\$1,600	\$44.00		Moore	2.50%	Oct-86	\$1,600	\$40.00	Sep-88
Coffee	2.00%	Aug-81	\$1,600	\$32.00	Aug-88	Morgan*	2.00%	Jul-80	\$1,600	\$32.00	Sep-83
Crockett	2.75%	Jun-96	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jun-96		2.75%	May-00	\$1,600	\$44.00	May-00
Cumberland	2.75%	Sep-99	\$1,600	\$44.00	Sep-99	Obion		Aug-97	\$1,600	\$40.00	Aug-97
Davidson	2.25%	Oct-80	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-83	Overton	2.50%		\$1,600	\$40.00	Jan-98
Decatur	2.50%	Feb-98	\$1,600	\$40.00	Feb-98	Perry	2.50%	Jan-97		\$44.00	Jan-99
DeKalb	1.50%	Jan-70	\$1,600	\$24.00	Dec-83	Pickett	2.75%	Jan-99	\$1,600		Mar-84
Dickson	2.75%	Oct-01	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-01	Polk	2.25%	Jul-84	\$1,600	\$36.00	
Dyer .	2.75%	Jan-91	\$1,600	\$44.00	Apr-01	Putnam	2.75%	Jul-99	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jul-99
Fayette	2.25%	Jan-82	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83	Rhea	2.25%	Jan-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	May-88
Fentress	2.50%	Oct-92	\$1,600	\$40.00	Oct-92	Roane*	2.50%	Jan-89	\$1,600	\$40.00	Sep-83
Franklin	2.25%	Dec-86	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-86	Robertson	2.25%	Jan-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Jul-84
Gibson*	2.25%	Sep-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Nov-83	Rutherford	2.75%	Jul-00	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jul-00
Giles	2.50%	Jul-98	\$1,600	\$40.00	Jul-98	Scott	2.25%	Jul-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Nov-84
Grainger	2.75%	Jul-94	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jul-94	Sequatchie	2.25%	Jan-77	\$1,600	\$36.00	Jul-88
Greene	2.75%	May-00	\$1,600	\$44.00	May-00	Sevier	2.50%	Oct-91	\$1,600	\$40.00	Oct-91
Grundy	2.25%	Sep-77	\$333	\$7.50	Sep-77	Shelby	2.25%	Jan-84	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
Hamblen	2.50%	Oct-86	\$300	\$7.50	Oct-86	Smith	2.75%	May-00	\$1,600	\$44.00	May-00
Hamilton	2.25%	Jul-04	\$1,600	\$36.00	Aug-83	Stewart	2.25%	Jul-77	\$1,600	\$36.00	Nov-99
Hancock	2.00%	Jan-83	\$375	\$7.50	Jan-83	Sullivan*	2.25%	Apr-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
Hardeman	2.75%	Jul-02	\$1,600	\$44.00	Jul-02	Sumner	2.25%	Dec-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-83
Hardin	2.50%	Nov-97	\$1,600	\$40.00	Nov-97	Tipton	2.25%	May-78	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
Hawkins	2.75%	Oct-88	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-88	Trousdale	2.25%	Dec-76	\$1,600	\$36.00	Dec-83
Haywood	2.75%	Oct-98	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-98	Unicoi	2.75%	Oct-94	\$1,600	\$44.00	Dec-04
Henderson	2.75%	Oct-97	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-97	Union	2.25%	Oct-88	\$1,600	\$36.00	Oct-88
Henry	2.25%	Oct-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Aug-88	Van Buren	2.75%		\$1,600	\$44.00	Jan-90
	2.75%	Jul-03	\$1,600	\$44.00	Sep-83	Warren	2.75%		\$1,600	\$44.00	Aug-83
Hickman	2.75%	Oct-86	\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-86	Washington			\$1,600	\$40.00	Jul-94
Houston	2.75%	Aug-83	\$1,600	\$36.00	Jul-95	Washington	2.75%		\$1,600	\$44.00	Oct-98
Humphreys			\$1,600	\$44.00	May-00	Weakley	2.75%		\$1,600	\$44.00	Jul-98
Jackson	2.75%	May-00	\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83	White	2.25%		\$1,600	\$36.00	Sep-83
Jefferson	2.25%	Nov-81		\$24.00	Nov-87	Williamson	2.25%		\$1,600	\$36.00	Apr-91
Johnson	1.50%	Mar-69	\$1,600			Wilson	2.25%		\$1,600	\$36.00	Nov-93
Knox	2.25%	Jan-89	\$1,600	\$36.00	Jul-83	VVIISOIT	2.2370	1404-90	Ι Ψ 1,000	Ι Ψ50.00	1107 00
Lake	2.75%	Mar-97	\$1,600	\$44.00	Mar-97	1					

^{*}Counties with asterisks have cities within them that tax at a higher rate, e.g. Anderson County has a 2.25% rate but two towns in Anderson County, Clinton and Lake City, have a 2.75% rate.

Note: The source of this information is http://www.state.tn.us/revenue/pubs/taxlist.pdf. Any referenda scheduled after January 1, 2005 are not reflected in this table. Please see the above Department of Revenue site for potential rate changes.

Table 5
Countywide Local Option Sales Tax Collections FY 2004

1 Anderson	\$16,358,082
2 Bedford	\$7,416,913
3 Benton	\$2,622,431
	\$642,108
4 Bledsoe	\$24,539,012
5 Blount	\$17,818,087
6 Bradley	\$5,488,586
7 Campbell	
8 Cannon	\$658,205
9 Carroll	\$3,806,107
10 Carter	\$5,688,478
11 Cheatham	\$3,847,993
12 Chester	\$1,976,290
13 Claiborne	\$2,696,724
14 Clay	\$857,039
15 Cocke	\$5,831,480
16 Coffee	\$11,661,907
17 Crockett	\$1,065,021
18 Cumberland	\$12,788,942
19 Davidson	\$216,948,647
20 Decatur	\$1,716,299
21 DeKalb	\$1,370,292
22 Dickson	\$12,260,949
23 Dyer	\$8,935,401
24 Fayette	\$2,665,751
25 Fentress	\$2,214,071
26 Franklin	\$5,347,385
27 Gibson	\$6,797,513
28 Giles	\$4,579,449
29 Grainger	\$1,353,236
30 Greene	\$12,396,566
31 Grundy	\$1,007,193
32 Hamblen	\$16,324,932
33 Hamilton	\$85,765,625
34 Hancock	\$264,072
35 Hardeman	\$3,214,708
36 Hardin	\$4,677,445
37 Hawkins	\$6,623,663
38 Haywood	\$2,270,883
39 Henderson	\$5,178,380
40 Henry	\$5,940,325
41 Hickman	\$1,817,783
42 Houston	\$773,104
43 Humphreys	\$2,818,673
44 Jackson	\$817,915
45 Jefferson	\$5,789,795
46 Johnson	\$983,138
47 Knox	\$130,061,455
48 Lake	\$614,301
.o Lano	+,00 (

11	
49 Lauderdale	\$3,142,123
50 Lawrence	\$7,467,423
51 Lewis	\$1,336,337
52 Lincoln	\$4,868,579
53 Loudon	\$6,514,316
54 Macon	\$2,622,413
55 Madison	\$37,972,019
56 Marion	\$4,749,092
57 Marshall	\$3,926,011
58 Maury	\$14,195,392
59 McMinn	\$7,681,110
60 McNairy	\$2,671,051
61 Meigs	\$527,828
62 Monroe	\$6,310,128
63 Montgomery	\$32,286,567
64 Moore	\$382,648
65 Morgan	\$931,930
66 Obion	\$7,727,150
67 Overton	\$2,320,584
68 Perry	\$731,318
69 Pickett	\$655,372
70 Polk	\$1,225,516
71 Putnam	\$21,381,905
72 Rhea	\$3,864,090
73 Roane	\$9,314,976
74 Robertson	\$9,627,599
75 Rutherford	\$58,724,420
76 Scott	\$2,869,627
77 Sequatchie	\$1,307,770
78 Sevier	\$50,428,667
79 Shelby	\$224,747,069
80 Smith	\$2,582,274
81 Stewart	\$1,101,237
82 Sullivan	\$38,447,549
83 Sumner	\$19,982,614
84 Tipton	\$5,571,412
85 Trousdale	\$580,524
86 Unicoi	\$1,958,173
87 Union	\$1,011,827
88 Van Buren	\$401,986
89 Warren	\$7,042,610
The state of the s	\$33,374,996
90 Washington	\$1,549,287
91 Wayne	\$4,659,139
92 Weakley	\$3,015,999
93 White	\$50,702,018
94 Williamson	
95 Wilson	\$17,441,889
Out-of-state*	\$202,403,008
Statewide	\$1,601,629,924

Source: Tennessee Department of Revenue Monthly Collections Report June 2004 *Out-of-state includes mail order, internet, and telecommunications services sales tax revenue.

County Motor Vehicle Tax1

Counties may levy a tax on motor vehicles (wheel tax) by any of the following methods: passage of a resolution by a two-thirds vote of the county legislative body at two consecutive regular county legislative body meetings; by passage of a resolution by the county legislative body by a regular majority with approval and referendum provided for in the resolution; and, by private act. Even a resolution that does not provide for a referendum is subject to a referendum if a petition signed by registered voters amounting to 10 percent of the votes cast in the last gubernatorial election (10 percent of the total registered voters in Shelby County) is filed with the county election commission within 30 days of final passage.

The rates are set forth in the resolutions or private acts. The distribution may be designated for any county purpose specified by the resolution or private act.

As of the date of this publication, 54 counties impose the county motor vehicle tax with rates ranging from \$10.00 to \$70.00, as shown in Table 6. Thirteen counties have rates of \$20.00 or less; 33 counties have rates from \$25.00 to \$41.25; eight counties have rates of \$45.00 or greater.

Table 7 provides the number of motor vehicle registrations in each county and may be used to estimate potential revenues generated from the imposition or increase in the motor vehicle registration tax. These data include, however, vehicles exempt by statute from taxation and should be adjusted accordingly.

¹ The legal authority for the county motor vehicle tax is T.C.A. § 5-8-102.

Table 6 County Motor Vehicle Tax Rates FY 2005

1 Anderson	N/A
2 Bedford	N/A
3 Benton	N/A
4 Bledsoe	N/A
5 Blount	N/A
6 Bradley	N/A
7 Campbell	\$35.00
8 Cannon	\$10.00
9 Carroll	\$30.00
10 Carter	N/A
11 Cheatham	\$50.00
12 Chester	\$15.00
13 Claiborne	\$25.00
14 Clay	\$25.00
15 Cocke	N/A
16 Coffee	N/A
17 Crockett	\$70.00
18 Cumberland	N/A
	\$35.00
19 Davidson 20 Decatur	N/A
	N/A
21 DeKalb 22 Dickson	\$50.00
23 Dyer	\$40.00 \$25.00
24 Fayette	
25 Fentress	\$25.00
26 Franklin	N/A
27 Gibson	\$25.00
28 Giles	N/A
29 Grainger	N/A
30 Greene	\$20.00
31 Grundy	N/A
32 Hamblen	\$27.00
33 Hamilton	N/A
34 Hancock	\$20.00
35 Hardeman	\$20.00
36 Hardin	\$11.00
37 Hawkins	\$27.00
38 Haywood	\$30.50
39 Henderson	\$20.00
40 Henry	\$33.50
41 Hickman	\$30.50
42 Houston	\$45.00
43 Humphreys	N/A
44 Jackson	\$15.00
45 Jefferson	\$25.00
46 Johnson	\$20.00
47 Knox	\$36.00
48 Lake	\$52.00

49 Lauderdale	\$55.00
50 Lawrence	\$25.00
51 Lewis	\$20.00
52 Lincoln	\$25.00
53 Loudon	N/A
54 Macon	\$40.00
55 Madison	N/A
56 Marion	N/A
57 Marshall	\$50.00
58 Maury	\$25.00
59 McMinn	N/A
60 McNairy	\$20.00
61 Meigs	N/A
62 Monroe	\$25.00
63 Montgomery	\$30.00
64 Moore	N/A
65 Morgan	N/A
66 Obion	\$40.00
67 Overton	\$30.00
68 Perry	N/A
69 Pickett	N/A
70 Polk	N/A
71 Dutnam	N/A
71 Putnam 72 Rhea	N/A
73 Roane	N/A N/A
73 Roane 74 Robertson	\$35.00
75 Rutherford	\$40.00
	N/A
76 Scott	N/A
77 Sequatchie	N/A N/A
78 Sevier	\$50.00
79 Shelby	N/A
80 Smith	
81 Stewart	\$35.00
82 Sullivan	N/A
83 Sumner	\$50.00
84 Tipton	\$30.00
85 Trousdale	\$40.00
86 Unicoi	N/A
87 Union	N/A
88 Van Buren	N/A
89 Warren	\$30.00
90 Washington	N/A
91 Wayne	\$41.25
92 Weakley	\$20.00
93 White	N/A
94 Williamson	\$25.00
95 Wilson	\$25.00

Table 7 Motor Vehicle Registrations 2004

1 Anderson	74,876
2 Bedford	43,007
3 Benton	17,979
4 Bledsoe	11,018
5 Blount	122,477
6 Bradley	88,233
7 Campbell	33,537
8 Cannon	12,166
9 Carroll	26,806
10 Carter	50,702
11 Cheatham	34,600
12 Chester	13,857
13 Claiborne	33,090
14 Clay	8,556
15 Cocke	35,371
16 Coffee	50,682
17 Crockett	10,728
18 Cumberland	50,455
19 Davidson	576,430
20 Decatur	13,440
21 DeKalb	19,846
22 Dickson	41,210
23 Dyer	31,616
24 Fayette	29,991
25 Fentress	15,474
26 Franklin	38,684
27 Gibson	41,712
28 Giles	29,123
29 Grainger	19,864
30 Greene	74,775
31 Grundy	14,472
32 Hamblen	55,976
33 Hamilton	375,611
34 Hancock	6,018
35 Hardeman	22,325
36 Hardin	25,895
37 Hawkins	49,190
38 Haywood	14,975
39 Henderson	23,241
40 Henry	30,600
41 Hickman	20,190
42 Houston	7,076
43 Humphreys	18,889
44 Jackson	9,331
45 Jefferson	42,100
46 Johnson	17,253
47 Knox	374,040
48 Lake	4,285
49 Lauderdale	18,855
50 Lawrence	36,331
	50,001

51 Lewis	11,070
52 Lincoln	31,435
53 Loudon	42,600
54 Macon	19,506
55 Madison	87,375
56 Marion	29,018
57 Marshall	25,967
58 Maury	66,704
59 McMinn	50,290
60 McNairy	23,238
61 Meigs	10,992
62 Monroe	34,721
63 Montgomery	120,828
64 Moore	6,249
65 Morgan	17,293
66 Obion	29,654
	17,496
67 Overton 68 Perry	8,621
69 Pickett	5,751
70 Polk	16,867
71 Putnam	66,440
72 Rhea	29,213
73 Roane	45,783
74 Robertson	53,497
75 Rutherford	150,986
76 Scott	20,250
77 Sequatchie	17,164
78 Sevier	71,601
79 Shelby	650,859
80 Smith	16,457
81 Stewart	12,496
82 Sullivan	153,396
83 Sumner	114,605
84 Tipton	49,595
85 Trousdale	7,894
86 Unicoi	20,303
87 Union	16,169
88 Van Buren	5,223
89 Warren	35,536
90 Washington	106,844
91 Wayne	14,487
92 Weakley	28,454
93 White	24,349
94 Williamson	126,132
95 Wilson	80,811
Statewide	5,391,177
	-,,,,,,

Source: Tennessee Department of Safety, Motor Vehicle Division

Hotel/Motel Tax

Sixty-nine counties levy the hotel/motel tax, which is authorized by private act on the privilege of occupancy of hotel and motel rooms. (Davidson County is the exception as it has utilized a general law that applies only to metropolitan governments.) Rates vary according to the terms of the private act. Currently, rates are imposed ranging from 2 percent to 7 percent of the price of the lodgings, with 51 counties at 5 percent. The distribution of the tax is set forth in the private act. The rates and distributions are shown in Table 8.

Since May 12, 1988, any private act which authorizes a city or county (Rutherford, Shelby, and Williamson counties excepted) to levy a tax on the privilege of occupancy of a hotel must limit the application of the tax as follows:

- 1. A city shall only levy such tax on occupancy of hotels located within its municipal boundaries;
- A city shall not be authorized to levy such tax on occupancy of hotels if the county in which such city is located has levied such tax prior to the adoption of the tax by the city; and
- 3. A county shall only levy such tax on occupancy of hotels located within its boundaries but outside the boundaries of any municipality that has levied a tax on such occupancy prior to the adoption of such tax by the county.¹

These limitations only apply prospectively and all private acts levying taxes on the privilege of occupancy of hotels enacted prior to May 12, 1988, shall remain in full force and effect. Note that home rule municipalities have separate general law authority to levy hotel/motel taxes. As these taxes are not levied by private act, the limitations listed above do not apply to hotel/motel taxes levied by a home rule municipality.

¹ T.C.A. § 67-4-1425

Table 8 Hotel/Motel Tax Rates and Distribution FY 2005

6		FY 2005			
	Rate Distribution				
1 Anderson	5% general fund				
2 Bedford	N/A	N/A			
3 Benton	5%	general fund			
4 Bledsoe	N/A	N/A			
5 Blount	4%	general fund; tourism			
6 Bradley	5%	general fund; tourism			
7 Campbell	5%	discretionary			
	N/A	N/A			
8 Cannon		N/A			
9 Carroll	N/A				
10 Carter	5%	general fund; tourism			
11 Cheatham	5%	general fund			
12 Chester	4%	general fund			
13 Claiborne	3%	general fund			
14 Clay	N/A	N/A			
15 Cocke	3%	general fund			
16 Coffee	N/A	N/A			
17 Crockett	5%	general fund			
		debt service fund or county commission discretion			
18 Cumberland	5%				
19 Davidson	4%	USD general fund; tourism			
20 Decatur	5%	discretionary			
21 DeKalb	5%	general fund			
22 Dickson	5%	economic developent			
23 Dyer	N/A	N/A			
24 Fayette	5%	general fund			
25 Fentress	5%	general fund			
26 Franklin	5%	rural fire protection			
		general fund for industrial development			
27 Gibson	4%	general fund for mustifial development			
28 Giles	5%				
29 Grainger	N/A	N/A			
30 Greene	7%	tourism; economic development; debt service; capital projects; arts			
31 Grundy	N/A	N/A			
32 Hamblen	5%	parks			
33 Hamilton	4%	hotel/motel fund			
34 Hancock	N/A	N/A			
35 Hardeman	5%	general fund			
	5%	general fund			
36 Hardin		N/A			
37 Hawkins	N/A				
38 Haywood	5%	city of Brownsville; general fund			
39 Henderson	5%	general fund; fire department			
40 Henry	5%	general fund			
41 Hickman	5%	general fund			
42 Houston	5%	general fund			
43 Humphreys	5%	general fund			
44 Jackson	N/A	N/A			
45 Jefferson	4%	general fund			
		general fund			
46 Johnson	5%	tourism; general fund; city of Knoxville			
47 Knox	5%				
48 Lake	5%	general fund			
49 Lauderdale	5%	general fund			
50 Lawrence	2%	general fund for economic development			
51 Lewis	5%	general fund			
52 Lincoln	5%	general fund; tourism			
53 Loudon	5%	general fund			
54 Macon	N/A	N/A			
	5%	city of Jackson; community economic development commission; general fund			
55 Madison		education			
56 Marion	5%	general fund			
57 Marshall	5%				
58 Maury	5%	industrial development; tourism; beautification and recreation			
59 McMinn	5%	tourism; economic development			
60 McNairy	N/A	N/A			
61 Meigs	5%	general fund			
62 Monroe	5%	industrial development; tourism			
	3%	tourism; general fund; city of Clarksville			
63 Montgomery		general fund			
64 Moore	3%				
65 Morgan	N/A	N/A			
66 Obion	5%	general fund for Reelfoot Lake tourism			
67 Overton	N/A	N/A			

Table 8 Hotel/Motel Tax Rates and Distribution FY 2005

Rate Distribution				
68 Perry	5%	discretionary		
69 Pickett	N/A			
70 Polk	N/A	N/A		
71 Putnam	6%	N/A		
72 Rhea	2%	debt service fund; Chamber of Commerce; recreation		
73 Roane	5%	tourism; economic development		
74 Robertson	5%	industrial/economic development		
75 Rutherford		tourism, economic development grants to cities; industrial development		
76 Scott	3%	general fund for tourism; debt service fund; county commission discretion		
	5%	general fund		
77 Sequatchie	2%	general fund		
78 Sevier	N/A	N/A		
79 Shelby	5%	convention center; convention and visitors bureau; arena		
80 Smith	N/A	N/A		
81 Stewart	N/A	N/A		
82 Sullivan	N/A	N/A		
83 Sumner	5%	general fund		
84 Tipton	5%	general fund for industrial development		
85 Trousdale	N/A	N/A		
86 Unicoi	5%	general fund		
87 Union	N/A	N/A		
88 Van Buren	7%	general fund; education capital outlay fund; city of Spencer		
89 Warren	5%	debt service		
90 Washington	N/A	N/A		
91 Wayne	N/A	N/A		
92 Weakley	5%	general fund		
93 White	5%	general fund		
94 Williamson	4%	general fund		
95 Wilson	3%	general fund; county commission discretion		

County Mineral Severance Tax

By a two-thirds vote, counties may levy a tax on all sand, gravel, sandstone, chert, and limestone severed from the ground within the county at a rate of up to \$.15 per ton. Prior to 1984, the county mineral severance tax could be authorized by private act only. Private acts in existence when the general law was passed remain in effect for all purposes, except that the rate may not exceed \$.15 per ton. Counties who levied the tax under the general law are required to distribute the tax to county highway fund. ¹

As shown in Table 9, 66 counties impose county mineral severance taxes. Of those, 66, 56 counties allocate the proceeds to the county highway fund, nine counties allocate the proceeds to the county general fund with one designating the funds for roads, and one county allocates the proceeds to education. Sixty-three counties impose the maximum rate of \$.15; two counties are at \$.10; and one county is at \$.05.

¹ T.C.A. §§ 67-7-201 through 67-7-221

Table 9
Mineral Severance Tax Rates and Distribution
FY 2005

	F1 2003	
	Rate	Distribution
1 Anderson*	\$0.15	highway fund
2 Bedford	\$0.15	highway fund
3 Benton*	\$0.10	highway fund
4 Bledsoe	\$0.15	highway fund
5 Blount	\$0.15	highway fund
6 Bradley	N/A	N/A
7 Campbell	\$0.15	highway fund
8 Cannon	\$0.10	highway fund
		highway fund
9 Carroll	\$0.15	
10 Carter	\$0.15	highway fund
11 Cheatham	\$0.15	highway fund
12 Chester	N/A	N/A
13 Claiborne	\$0.15	highway fund
14 Clay	\$0.15	highway fund
15 Cocke	\$0.15	highway fund
16 Coffee	\$0.15	highway fund
17 Crockett	N/A	N/A
18 Cumberland	\$0.15	highway fund
19 Davidson	\$0.15	general fund for roads
20 Dogative	\$0.45	general fund
20 Decatur	\$0.15	
21 DeKalb	\$0.15	highway fund
22 Dickson	N/A	N/A
23 Dyer	N/A	N/A
24 Fayette	\$0.15	highway fund
25 Fentress	\$0.15	highway fund
26 Franklin	\$0.15	highway fund
27 Gibson	N/A	N/A
28 Giles	\$0.15	general fund
29 Grainger	N/A	N/A
30 Greene	\$0.15	general fund
31 Grundy	N/A	N/A
32 Hamblen	N/A	N/A
33 Hamilton	N/A	N/A
34 Hancock	N/A	N/A
35 Hardeman	N/A	N/A
	\$0.15	highway fund
36 Hardin		highway fund
37 Hawkins	\$0.15	
38 Haywood	\$0.15	highway fund
39 Henderson	N/A	N/A
40 Henry	N/A	N/A
41 Hickman	\$0.15	highway fund
42 Houston	N/A	N/A
43 Humphreys	\$0.05	general fund
44 Jackson	\$0.15	highway fund
45 Jefferson	N/A	N/A
46 Johnson	\$0.15	highway fund
47 Knox	N/A	N/A
48 Lake	N/A	N/A
49 Lauderdale	N/A	N/A
50 Lawrence	\$0.15	highway fund
		N/A
51 Lewis	N/A	
52 Lincoln	\$0.15	highway fund
53 Loudon	\$0.15	highway fund
54 Macon	N/A	N/A
55 Madison	\$0.15	highway fund
56 Marion	\$0.15	highway fund
57 Marshall	\$0.15	highway fund
58 Maury	\$0.15	highway fund
59 McMinn	\$0.15	highway fund
60 McNairy	\$0.15	highway fund
61 Meigs	\$0.15	highway fund
62 Monroe	\$0.15	highway fund
- Contract of the Contract of	\$0.15	highway fund
63 Montgomery		highway fund
64 Moore	\$0.15	mgriway rund

Table 9
Mineral Severance Tax Rates and Distribution
FY 2005

	Rate	Distribution	
65 Morgan	N/A	N/A	
66 Obion	\$0.15	highway fund	
67 Overton	\$0.15	highway fund	
68 Perry	\$0.15	highway fund	
69 Pickett	N/A	N/A	
70 Polk	N/A	N/A	
71 Putnam	\$0.15	highway fund	
72 Rhea	\$0.15	highway fund	
73 Roane	\$0.15	highway fund	
74 Robertson	\$0.15	highway fund	
75 Rutherford	\$0.15	general fund	
76 Scott	N/A	N/A	
77 Sequatchie	N/A	N/A	
78 Sevier	N/A	N/A	
79 Shelby	Shelby \$0.15		
80 Smith	\$0.15	highway fund highway fund	
81 Stewart	\$0.15	highway fund	
82 Sullivan	\$0.15	highway fund	
83 Sumner	\$0.15	highway fund	
84 Tipton	N/A	N/A	
85 Trousdale	\$0.15	highway fund	
86 Unicoi	\$0.15	general fund	
87 Union	\$0.15	highway fund	
88 Van Buren	\$0.15	highway fund	
89 Warren	\$0.15	highway fund	
90 Washington	N/A	N/A	
91 Wayne*	\$0.15	education	
92 Weakley	\$0.15	general fund	
93 White	\$0.15	highway fund	
94 Williamson	\$0.15	general fund	
95 Wilson	\$0.15	highway fund	

*A severance tax is also applied to pulpwood severance in Anderson, Benton, and Wayne counties by private act. In these counties, the mineral severance tax is authorized under T.C.A. 67-7-201 and is therefore allocated to the highway fund. The pulpwood severance tax in Anderson County is allocated to the general fund with first priority given to the school system. In Benton and Wayne counties the pulpwood severance tax is allocated to the general fund. Pursuant to T.C.A. 67-1-111, no other city or county may levy a pulpwood severance tax unless authorized by general law rather than private act.

Adequate Facilities/Development Tax

In recent years local governments, especially those in counties experiencing heavy growth, have looked for ways by which those benefiting from the growth could also pay for the increased governmental costs resulting from that growth. There are three main methods by which a local government may make an assessment against property the owner wishes to develop: special assessments, impact fees, and privilege taxes.

Special Assessments These are charges levied against specific parcels of property to recoup part or all of the costs of improvements which directly benefit that property: "The differences between a special assessment and a tax are (1) a special assessment can be levied only on land for special purposes; (2) a special assessment is based wholly on lands benefited." West Tennessee Flood Control & Soil Conservation Dist. V. Wyatt, 247 S.W.2d 56 (Tenn. 1952). Counties are authorized to levy special assessments by the County Powers Act. 1

Impact Fees These fees are a means by which a local government may regulate new development. The intent of the fee is to place the financial burden of new growth on areas in which the growth has occurred. The level of the fee must be related to the costs resulting from the new development, and revenues generated by the fee should be earmarked for investment in the growth areas. There is no specific statutory authority under general law for counties to impose impact fees; therefore, they may be imposed only by private act.

Adequate Facilities Taxes These are privilege taxes levied upon the privilege of construction or development of property. The primary difference between an impact fee and an adequate facilities tax is one of intent: the purpose of a tax is to raise revenue, but the purpose of a fee is the regulation of some activity under the government's police power. *Memphis Retail Liquor Dealer's Ass'n Inc. v. City of Memphis*, 547 S.W.2d 244 (Tenn. 1977). As with impact fees, there is no general law statutory authorization for local governments to impose adequate facilities taxes, so they require a private act. Of the three types of revenue generation discussed in this section, this form of taxation has generated the most attention in recent years. A number of private acts authorizing adequate facilities taxes have been passed in the last few years; primarily for counties in high-growth areas in middle Tennessee.

The issue of whether a program is a tax or fee becomes significant in determining the level of scrutiny with which courts will look at the program. Since taxes are not regulatory actions, they do not have to meet the same standards as impact fee programs. Since it is relatively easy for local governments in Tennessee to obtain enabling legislation through private acts, adequate facilities taxes may be easier for local governments to initiate here than in other states where local governments have been more prone to resort to impact fee programs. The revenues from these taxes go into the fund or funds designated by the private act. While they may often be designated for expenditure on expanding capital facilities for public works, it is neither required nor, as a rule, desirable to earmark them for spending only in the areas where they were collected.

Currently, there are 13 counties that impose adequate facilities or development taxes. The counties are listed in Table 10, along with the rates, how the rates are imposed, and the purposes for which the proceeds are allocated.

¹ T.C.A. §5-1-118

Table 10
Adequate Facilities/Development Taxes and Fees and Distribution

	7100	quate i acinticsiberciopine	int raxes and rees and Distribution		
Cheatham		Development Tax	\$1,875 paid by developer upon approval of plat \$1,875 paid upon issuance of building permit	\$500 parks and recreation \$750 general fund \$2,500 education debt	
		dequate Facilities Tax	lequate Facilities Tax \$.50 per residential square foot		
		dequate Facilities Tax	\$.50 per heated residential square foot \$.25 per heated commercial square foot	education	
Dickson	Λ,	requate i acinties Tax	\$.15 per temperature controlled industrial square foot	capital projects	
Fayette	A	dequate Facilities Tax	maximum of \$1.00 per residential/nonresidential square foot	capital projects fund	
Hickman	Λ,	dequate Facilities Tax	\$1.00 per residential square foot with \$1,500 minimum	capital projects fund	
nickman	A.	dequate Facilities Tax	\$.25 per commercial square foot with \$1,500 minimum	ouplial projects talle	
		100 mm 4 Pm	\$1.00 per residential square foot	capital projects	
Macon	De	velopment/Impact Fee	\$.25 per commercial square foot		
Marshall	Δ.	dequate Facilities Tax	\$.70 per residential square foot	- capital projects fund	
Warshall	A	dequate Facilities Tax	\$.30 per commercial square foot		
Maury	Adequate Facilities Tax		\$.50 per residential square foot	local purpose fund	
Montgomery	Adequate Facilities Tax		\$.30 per commercial square foot \$250 per residential lot plus \$250 per unit increasing 6% annually to a maximum of \$1,000 combined	education, capital projects, education debt service	
Robertson	Adequate Facilities Tax		\$1.50 per residential square foot \$.30 per commercial square foot	education debt	
Rutherford		Development Tax	\$750 paid by developer upon approval of plat \$750 paid upon issuance of building permit	capital improvements debt	
			\$.70 per residential square foot	education	
Sumner A		Adequate Facilities Tax	\$.40 per commercial square foot	capital projects	
Williamson	lliamson Privilege Tax		\$1.00 per residential square foot	schools recreation	
**IIIIdiliSUII			\$.44 per commercial square foot	fire services highways	
		within cities	\$.68 per residential square foot	schools recreation	
Wilson Adequate Facilities Tax		\$1,000 minimum per residential unit imposed at issuance of building permit or equivalent to building permit fee in jurisdiction	capital projects		

Local Litigation Tax

The local litigation tax may be levied on all original suits filed in General Sessions, Circuit, Chancery and other special courts in each county. In 1981, after the General Assembly passed 1981 Public Chapter 488 which imposed a state litigation tax, the State Attorney General opined¹ that counties may, by resolution of the county legislative body, levy a litigation tax in the same manner and in an amount not to exceed the amount of the state litigation tax. The state litigation taxes which may be matched are found in *Tennessee Code Annotated*, §§ 67-4-602 *et seq.* and 16-15-5007. Additionally, *Tennessee Code Annotated*, § 16-15-5006, authorizes counties to levy a local litigation tax for the purpose of funding general sessions judges' salaries. While most other litigation taxes may be levied by a resolution passed by a simple majority, the tax authorized by § 16-15-5006 requires a resolution approved by two-thirds of the county legislative body. Since 1981, the litigation tax laws have been amended from time to time to increase the rate levied by the state or to add additional taxes onto the existing tax. Generally, counties are able to match these increases as well.

Almost all counties have levied a local litigation tax, either by a private act of the General Assembly or by resolution of the County Commission. Generally, the county tax may be levied in an amount not to exceed \$23.75 in civil cases in courts of record, \$23.75 in civil cases in general sessions courts, \$29.50 in criminal cases in courts of record, and \$35.50 in criminal cases in general sessions courts. Additionally, Chapter 502 of Public Acts of 1999 amended § 67-4-602 to authorize an additional \$1.00 state litigation tax in all criminal cases arising out of any violation of Title 55, Chapter 8 (most traffic violations), or for violation of any ordinance governing use of a metered parking space. Counties could "match" this tax as well, which would bring the maximum local litigation tax for *only those criminal cases arising out of these types of traffic and parking violations* to \$30.50 in cases in courts of record and \$36.50 in cases in general sessions courts. Remember, these maximum amounts do not include state litigation taxes which are collected and remitted to the state.

With a few exceptions, revenue from county litigation taxes may be used for any specific purpose authorized by the county legislative body or may be deposited in the county general fund and used for general purposes. The \$6.00 portion of the tax authorized by § 16-15-5006 for general sessions cases must be allocated to the county general fund to aid in defraying the costs of paying general sessions judges salaries. If the \$6.00 does not raise sufficient revenues to pay the salary of the general session judge(s), the amount may be increased in order to do so.

In 1999, the General Assembly also authorized an additional "local option" litigation tax in the amount of \$1.00. The tax must be levied by a two-thirds vote of the county legislative body. Revenue from this tax must be used exclusively to support a victim/offender mediation center; or alternatively, in those counties where such a center does not exist, the revenue is deposited into a separately designated account and held until such time as a victim/offender mediation center is established. As this is a local option litigation tax, and not a state litigation tax, it cannot be "matched" by a county litigation tax in the same amount.

In 2000, the General Assembly passed Public Chapter 886 to authorize counties to levy an additional local privilege tax on litigation in all civil and criminal cases instituted in the county, not including those instituted in municipal court. The new tax may be levied by a resolution passed by a two-thirds vote of the county legislative body. The additional tax cannot exceed \$10 per case. As it originally passed, the law provided that proceeds from this tax must be used exclusively for purposes of jail or workhouse construction, re-construction or upgrading, or to retire debt issued for those purposes. Chapter 225 of the Public Acts of 2001 amended the law to add "courthouse renovation" as an authorized use of the revenue generated from this particular litigation tax. The law contains a sunset provision that causes the tax levy to cease once the costs of the project have been paid or the debt for the project has been retired.

Also in 2001, the legislature amended litigation tax laws to make a change in how they are

2 T.C.A. § 16-20-106

¹ See Op. Tenn. Atty Gen. 81-598 (dated November 9, 1981). A subsequent unpublished opinion, Opinion U88-109 (September 28, 1988) affirmed the earlier opinion.

collected in criminal cases. Pursuant to 2001 Public Chapter 454, litigation taxes in criminal cases are levied for each criminal charge, upon conviction or by order, rather than being levied once per each case. This allows for the collection of multiple litigation taxes in a criminal case where there are multiple charges brought against a defendant.

For more information on how a litigation tax may be levied or for assistance in revising or amending private acts or resolutions that levy such taxes, contact your CTAS county government consultant.

COUNTY LITIGATION TAX RATES*

COURT	Maximum County Litigation Taxes as of July 1, 2001		
Courts of Record—Civil	\$23.75 (state amount under T.C.A. § 67-4-602)		
Courts of Record—Criminal**	\$29.50 (state amount under T.C.A. § 67-4-602)		
General Sessions—Civil	\$23.75*** (state amount [\$16.75] under T.C.A. § 67-4-602; + \$6.00 authorized by T.C.A.§ 16-15-5006; + \$1.00 under T.C.A. 16-15-5007)		
General Sessions—Criminal**	\$35.50*** (state amount under T.C.A. § 67-4-602 plus \$6.00 authorized by T.C.A. § 16-15-5006)		

^{*}This chart does not attempt to show variations in tax rates that only apply to specific counties by narrow population classification. It also does not take into account any local option taxes such as the \$10.00 for jail or courthouse renovation or the \$1.00 for victim/offender mediation centers.

^{**}This amount is for cases other than violations of Title 55, Chapter 8 (most traffic violations), or for violations of any ordinance governing use of a metered parking space. Counties could add an additional \$1.00 to those violations bringing the amounts to \$30.50 in cases in courts of record and \$36.50 in cases in general sessions courts.

^{***}This amount may be increased if the \$6.00 tax does not raise sufficient revenues to pay the salary of the general sessions judge(s).

Summary of Major County Tax Rates

Table 11 provides a summary of rates of the most broadly imposed county taxes that were in place when counties adopted budgets for fiscal year 2005. Any referenda occurring after the date of this publication will not be reflected until next year. Additional information on these and other local and state revenues are available in the *County Revenue Manual* published by the UT County Technical Assistance Service. Technical assistance on financial and other issues is also available by contacting the CTAS consultant for your county. General questions regarding this publication should be directed to the CTAS central office in Nashville. This and an array of publications can be found on the CTAS Web site at http://www.ctas.tennessee.edu.

Table 11 Summary of Tax Rates for Major County Taxes FY 2005

11/		Property Tax Rates	Local Option Sales Tax Rates	Motor Vehicle Tax Rates	Hotel/ Motel Tax Rates	Mineral Severance
	Anderson	\$3.40	2.25%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
2 8	Bedford	\$2.49	2.75%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
3 F	Benton	\$3.02	2.75%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.10
4 1	Bledsoe	\$2.08	2.25%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
5	Blount	\$2.43	2.25%	N/A	4%	\$ 0.15
6	Bradley	\$2.31	2.25%	N/A	5%	N/A
7 (Campbell	\$2.44	2.25%	\$ 35.00	5%	\$ 0.15
8 (Cannon	\$2.59	1.75%	\$ 10.00	N/A	\$ 0.10
9 (Carroll	\$1.06	2.75%	\$ 10.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
10	Carter	\$2.56	2.25%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
11 (Cheatham	\$3.13	2.25%	\$ 50.00	5%	\$ 0.15
12	Chester	\$2.02	2.75%	\$ 15.00	4%	N/A
13 (Claiborne	\$2.13	2.25%	\$ 25.00	3%	\$ 0.15
14 (Clay	\$2.89	2.75%	\$ 25.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
-	Cocke	\$3.16	2.75%	N/A	3%	\$ 0.15
-	Coffee	\$3.31	2.00%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
17 (Crockett	\$2.48	2.75%	\$ 70.00	5%	N/A
18	Cumberland	\$1.64	2.75%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
-	Davidson	\$4.58	2.25%	\$ 35.00	4%	\$ 0.15
$\overline{}$	Decatur	\$1.56	2.50%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
	DeKalb	\$1.63	1.50%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
-	Dickson	\$2.86	2.75%	\$ 30.00	5%	N/A
	Dyer	\$2.58	2.75%	\$ 40.00	N/A	N/A
	Fayette	\$1.74	2.25%	\$ 25.00	5%	\$ 0.15
0	Fentress	\$1.88	2.50%	\$ 25.00	5%	\$ 0.15
-	Franklin	\$2.93	2.25%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
	Gibson	\$0.81	2.25%	\$ 25.00	4%	N/A
-	Giles	\$3.61	2.50%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
	Grainger	\$2.89	2.75%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Greene	\$1.95	2.75%	\$ 20.00	7%	\$ 0.15
-	Grundy	\$2.81	2.25%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hamblen	\$2.36	2.50%	\$ 27.00	5%	N/A
	Hamilton	\$3.061	2.25%	N/A	4%	N/A
-	Hancock	\$2.02	2.00%	\$ 20.00	N/A	N/A
	Hardeman	\$2.51	2.75%	\$ 20.00	5%	N/A
-	Hardin	\$1.82	2.50%	\$ 11.00	5%	\$ 0.15
-	Hawkins	\$2.53	2.75%	\$ 27.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
	Haywood	\$2.11	2.75%	\$ 30.50	5%	\$ 0.15
	Henderson	\$2.54	2.75%	\$ 20.00	5%	N/A
		\$2.42	2.75%	\$ 33.50	5%	N/A
	Henry	\$2.42	2.75%	\$ 30.50	5%	\$ 0.15
	Hickman	\$2.80		\$ 45.00	5%	N/A
	Houston	\$2.90	2.75%	\$ 45.00 N/A	5%	\$ 0.05
	Humphreys	\$2.74	2.25%		N/A	\$ 0.05
	Jackson		2.75%	\$ 15.00		
	Jefferson	\$2.06	2.25%	\$ 25.00	4%	N/A
-	Johnson	\$2.61	1.50%	\$ 20.00	5%	\$ 0.15
-	Knox	\$2.96	2.25%	\$ 36.00	5%	N/A
-	Lake	\$2.43	2.75%	\$ 52.00	5%	N/A
	Lauderdale Lawrence	\$2.33 \$2.75	2.75%	\$ 55.00 \$ 25.00	5% 2%	N/A \$ 0.15

Table 11 Summary of Tax Rates for Major County Taxes FY 2005

		Property Tax Rates	Local Option Sales Tax Rates	Motor Vehicle Tax Rates	Hotel/ Motel Tax Rates	Mineral Severance
51	Lewis	\$2.12	2.50%	\$ 20.00	5%	N/A
52	Lincoln	\$2.23	2.50%	\$ 25.00	5%	\$ 0.15
53	Loudon	\$1.78	2.00%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
54	Macon	\$2.70	2.25%	\$ 40.00	N/A	N/A
55	Madison	\$2.46	2.75%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
56	Marion	\$2.08	2.25%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
57	Marshall	\$3.14	2.25%	\$ 50.00	5%	\$ 0.15
58	Maury	\$2.73	2.25%	\$ 25.00	5%	\$ 0.15
59	McMinn	\$1.90	2.00%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
60	McNairy	\$2.02	2.25%	\$ 20.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
61	Meigs	\$2.29	2.00%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
62	Monroe	\$1.91	2.25%	\$ 25.00	5%	\$ 0.15
63	Montgomery	\$3.10	2.50%	\$ 30.00	3%	\$ 0.15
64	Moore	\$2.44	2.50%	N/A	3%	\$ 0.15
65	Morgan	\$3.86	2.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
66	Obion	\$2.20	2.75%	\$ 40.00	5%	\$ 0.15
67	Overton	\$1.94	2.50%	\$ 30.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
68	Perry	\$2.38	2.50%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
69	Pickett	\$2.12	2.75%	N/A	N/A	N/A
70	Polk	\$2.07	2.25%	N/A	N/A	N/A
71	Putnam	\$2.6025	2.75%	N/A	6%	\$ 0.15
72	Rhea	\$1.77	2.25%	N/A	2%	\$ 0.15
73	Roane	\$2.905	2.50%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
74	Robertson	\$2.66	2.25%	\$ 35.00	5%	\$ 0.15
75	Rutherford	\$2.80	2.75%	\$ 40.00	3%	\$ 0.15
76	Scott	\$2.40	2.25%	N/A	5%	N/A
77	Sequatchie	\$2.71	2.25%	N/A	2%	N/A
78	Sevier	\$1.66	2.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A
79	Shelby	\$4.09	2.25%	\$ 50.00	5%	\$ 0.15
80	Smith	\$1.99	2.75%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
81	Stewart	\$2.58	2.25%	\$ 35.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
82	Sullivan	\$2.67	2.25%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
83	Sumner	\$2.59	2.25%	\$ 50.00	5%	\$ 0.15
84	Tipton	\$2.85	2.25%	\$ 30.00	5%	N/A
85	Trousdale	\$2.65	2.25%	\$ 40.00	N/A	\$ 0.15
86	Unicoi	\$2.49	2.75%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
87	Union	\$2.00	2.25%	N/A	N/A	\$ 0.15
88	Van Buren	\$1.96	2.75%	N/A	7%	\$ 0.15
	Warren	\$2.31	2.75%	\$ 30.00	5%	\$ 0.15
90	Washington	\$1.87	2.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A
91	Wayne	\$1.99	2.75%	\$ 41.25	N/A	\$ 0.15
92	Weakley	\$2.17	2.75%	\$ 20.00	5%	\$ 0.15
	White	\$2.21	2.25%	N/A	5%	\$ 0.15
	Williamson	\$2.84	2.25%	\$ 25.00	4%	\$ 0.15
95	Wilson	\$2.97	2.25%	\$ 25.00	3%	\$ 0.15

CTAS | County Technical Assistance Service

THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE

The University of Tennessee does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, age, disability or veteran status in provision of educational programs and services or employment opportunities and benefits. This policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University. The policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University. The policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by and admission to the University of the policy extends to both employment by the policy extends to both employment by the policy extends to be admission to the University of the policy extends to be admission to the University of the policy extends to be admission to the policy extends to be admission to the University of the policy extends to be admission to the policy extends to be admission to the policy extends to the policy ext

The University does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex or disability in its education programs and activities pursuant to the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990.

Inquiries and charges of violation concerning Title VI, Title IX, Section 504, ADA or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) or any of the other above referenced policies should be directed to the Office of Equity and Diversity (OED), 1840 Melrose Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37996-3560, telephone (865) 974-2498 (V/TTY available) or 974-2440. Requests for accommodation of a disability should be directed to the ADA Coordinator at the UTKO ffice of Human Resources, 600 Henley Street, Knoxville, TN 37996-4125.

CTAS0156 01/05 2000 · E15-1570-000-038-05